

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.weylo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/596,640	11/15/2006	Michel Guillon	MIG 3050; P50514US	8708
321 7590 09/30/2011 SENNIGER POWERS LLP			EXAMINER	
100 NORTH BROADWAY			SUGARMAN, SCOTT J	
17TH FLOOR ST LOUIS, M			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2873	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/30/2011	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

uspatents@senniger.com

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)			
10/596,640	GUILLON ET AL.			
Examiner	Art Unit			
Scott J. Sugarman	2873			

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address -- Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS

WHIC - Exte after - If NO - Faill Any	CHIERCE STATURE TERM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. raisons of time may be available under the provisions of 37 OFR 1,138(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely lifed. SK (g) MONTH'S from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (g) MONTH'S from the mailing date of this communication. reply received by the Office later than three morthis after the mailing date of this communication, even it timely filled, may reduce any edupant term diagnament. See 37 CFR 1,74(b),				
Status					
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 June 2011.				
2a)	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.				
3)	An election was made by the applicant in response to a restriction requirement set forth during the interview on				
	the restriction requirement and election have been incorporated into this action.				
4)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims				
5)	☐ Claim(s) 11-22 is/are pending in the application.				
	5a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
6)🛛	☐ Claim(s) 18-22 is/are allowed.				
7)	Claim(s) 11 and 12 is/are rejected.				
8)🛛	Claim(s) 13-17 is/are objected to.				
9)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers				
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
	The drawing(s) filed on 30 July 2009 is/are: a) ⊠ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority i	under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
13)🛛	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)	☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage				
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				

Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/66)	 Notice of Informal Pater L Application 	
Paper No/s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/596,640

Art Unit: 2873

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on June 20, 2011 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Roos et al (US 5,840,338) taken alone or further considered with Wichterle (US 2,976,576). Roos et al teaches responsive polymer gel that when challenged with an environmental change, the gel will undergo a reversible volumetric change (see col. 43, line 45-col. 44, line 11). So, inherently, as the volume of the material changes, so does the shape. Also, Roos et al teaches that the responsive polymer gel can be used in a variety of medical environments including ophthalmic and specifically at col. 59. line 66-

Application/Control Number: 10/596,640

Art Unit: 2873

col. 60, line 2 refers to Wichterle ('576) using such a responsive gel polymer for a contact lens. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a responsive polymer gel capable of changes in shape when worn by a wearer of the contact lens, since, for example, when placed on the eye of a wearer, the material of the lens of Roos et all or Wichterle would change shape as it hydrates. Whether or not the contact lens of Roos et all or Wichterle is a single focus or multi-focal is purely a function of the prescriptive requirements of the wearer and would have provided predictable results. As it hydrates, the contact lens shape and/or refractive index changes (tear fluid absorbed by the responsive gel polymer would inherently affect the shape and/or refractive index).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 18-22 are allowed.

Claims 13-17 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: Regarding claims 13-17, the prior art does not teach or suggest a multi-focal contact lens made from a responsive polymer gel that responds to a stimulus corresponding to a detected condition in at least one eye of the wearer. Claims 18-20

Art Unit: 2873

have been previously allowed (reasons for allowance can be found in the Office Action of January 7, 2011). Regarding claims 21 and 22, the prior at does not teach or suggest where the at least one stimulus is responsive to eye movement of the wearer of the lens.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Scott J. Sugarman whose telephone number is (571)272-2340.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ricky L. Mack can be reached on (571)272-2333. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/596,640

Art Unit: 2873

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Scott J Sugarman/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2873

sjs September 26, 2011